TECHNICAL GUIDE

Matrix Matching in ICP-MS & ICP-OES Calibration

A technical guide to matrix effects, acid matching, calibration standards, sample response, and troubleshooting for elemental analysis.

About the EPA Method Examples in This Guide

The matrix-matching principles discussed in this guide apply across a broad range of ICP-MS and ICP-OES methods and laboratory applications. EPA Methods 200.8 and 200.7 are referenced throughout as practical, publicly available examples of how established analytical methods address matrix-related response differences, acid matching among standards and blanks, physical interferences and the use of corrective approaches such as internal standardization or standard addition. Their repeated use is illustrative and does not mean that the guidance, matrix-matched standards or CPI products discussed are limited to these methods or to the analysis of water and waste samples.

The appropriate degree of matrix matching varies with the analytical method, analytes, sample type, preparation chemistry, instrument configuration and data-quality requirements. Laboratories should follow the applicable analytical method, instrument documentation, validated SOPs and quality system when selecting matrices and evaluating matrix effects.

QUICK ANSWER

Matrix matching in ICP-MS and ICP-OES means preparing calibration standards, blanks, QC solutions, and samples so their acid concentration, dissolved-solids content, and major matrix composition are as similar as practical for the analytical method. Matching the matrix helps reduce response differences caused by sample transport, aerosol formation, plasma behavior, ionization or excitation, ion transmission, and background/spectral effects. When exact matching is not practical, laboratories may use internal standards, standard addition, dilution, matrix spikes, interference checks, or method-specific correction procedures.

Why Matrix Matching Matters in ICP Calibration

ICP-MS and ICP-OES calibration assumes that standards and samples behave comparably in the analytical system. If the sample matrix changes the way the solution is nebulized, transported, atomized, ionized, excited, or measured, the instrument response from a sample can differ from the response predicted by the calibration standards.

That difference is a matrix effect. Matrix effects can produce high or low bias, poor spike recovery, unstable internal-standard response, nonlinear calibration behavior, drifting quality-control results, and disagreement between diluted and undiluted samples.

Matrix matching is not a single procedure. It is a calibration and quality-control strategy: keep standards, blanks, check solutions, and samples chemically compatible with each other and with the method.

What Is a Matrix in ICP Analysis?

In ICP analysis, the matrix is everything in the solution other than the target analyte being measured. That includes acids, dissolved salts, sample-preparation reagents, major elements, residual solids, solvents, and other components that can affect measurement.

Relevant matrix characteristics include:

- Acid type and concentration, such as nitric acid, hydrochloric acid, or mixed acid.
- Total dissolved solids and salt content.
- Major elements such as sodium, calcium, magnesium, iron, aluminum, potassium, sulfur, phosphorus, or chloride.
- Sample viscosity and surface tension.
- Residual digestion reagents and dilution chemistry.
- Carbon content or other components that can change plasma conditions.
- Elements or species that create spectral, polyatomic, isobaric, or background effects.

What Are Matrix Effects?

Matrix effects are changes in analytical response caused by differences between the sample matrix and the calibration matrix. They can be physical, chemical, spectral, or instrumental.

Matrix-effect type	What changes	Possible analytical result
Physical transport	Viscosity, surface tension, aerosol formation, solution uptake, nebulization efficiency	Suppressed or enhanced signal; poor precision
Plasma-related	Desolvation, atomization, excitation, ionization, plasma robustness	Signal suppression/enhancement; different behavior by element
Interface / ion transmission	Cone loading, high dissolved solids, space-charge and transmission effects in ICP-MS	Internal-standard drift; low recovery; unstable response
Spectral / mass overlap	Emission overlap in ICP-OES or polyatomic/isobaric overlap in ICP-MS	Positive bias or apparent analyte signal
Chemical stability	Precipitation, hydrolysis, adsorption, complexation or oxidation-state changes	Low recovery; standard/sample instability
Memory / carryover	Residual matrix or analyte remains in uptake path or spray chamber	Elevated blanks or bias after high samples

Matrix Matching in EPA Method Context

EPA Methods 200.8 and 200.7 illustrate why matrix matching must be treated as method-specific rather than generic. EPA Method 200.8 identifies physical interferences that can create differences between sample and calibration-standard response, including sample transport, aerosol formation, plasma processes, and ion

transmission through the ICP-MS interface. It also notes that internal standardization can compensate for many physical interference effects.

EPA Method 200.7 gives practical examples of acid matching. Its calibration blank for aqueous samples and extracts is prepared by acidifying reagent water to the same acid concentrations used for the standards. It also specifies that certain check solutions be prepared in the same acid mixture as the calibration standards.

Those details should not be copied blindly into unrelated procedures. They demonstrate the principle: use the calibration matrix, blank matrix, QC matrix, and sample-preparation chemistry required by the applicable method.

Why Should Calibration Standards Match the Sample Matrix?

Calibration standards should match the sample matrix as closely as required and practical because the calibration curve represents how the instrument responds to standards, not necessarily how it responds to every sample matrix.

Matrix matching helps reduce differences in:

- Solution uptake and nebulizer performance.
- Aerosol droplet formation and transport.
- Plasma loading and excitation or ionization conditions.
- ICP-MS ion extraction and transmission.
- ICP-OES background emission and spectral behavior.
- Analyte stability during preparation and analysis.
- Blank contribution and QC comparability.

What Happens When Acid Concentration Differs?

Acid concentration affects more than pH. In ICP analysis, acid type and concentration can influence sample introduction, analyte stability, matrix loading, background, signal response, and compatibility with the instrument sample-introduction system.

Differences between calibration standards and samples can contribute to:

- Signal suppression or enhancement from different aerosol formation or plasma loading.
- Different blank levels or background behavior.
- Changes in analyte stability, especially for elements sensitive to hydrolysis, adsorption, precipitation, or chloride complexation.
- Different memory behavior or rinse efficiency.
- Mismatch between calibration blank and standards.
- QC failures that appear to be calibration problems but originate from matrix differences.

In practice, the acid matrix should be selected based on the method, analyte chemistry, sample-preparation procedure, instrument compatibility, and required stability.

ICP-MS Matrix Effects

ICP-MS matrix effects often appear as changes in analyte sensitivity, internal-standard response, isotope agreement, background signal, or apparent interferences. Because ICP-MS measures ions, the sample matrix can affect both ion formation and ion transmission.

Common ICP-MS matrix-related problems

- High dissolved solids causing cone deposition and reduced ion transmission.
- Chloride-containing matrices forming polyatomic ions such as argon chloride species.
- Carbon, sulfur, phosphorus, sodium, calcium, or other matrix components contributing to polyatomic or plasma-related effects.
- Sample viscosity or surface-tension differences affecting nebulization.
- Easily ionized elements changing plasma conditions and suppressing analyte response.
- Internal-standard suppression or enhancement indicating physical or plasma-related effects.

Internal standards are often used in ICP-MS to monitor and correct for many non-spectral matrix effects, but they do not automatically remove analyte-specific spectral overlaps.

ICP-OES Matrix Effects

ICP-OES matrix effects can affect emission intensity, background correction, spectral overlap, plasma excitation conditions, and sample-introduction efficiency. The impact can vary by wavelength and element.

Common ICP-OES matrix-related problems

- Spectral overlap from major matrix elements or unresolved emission lines.
- Increased background emission from complex sample matrices.
- Different nebulization behavior due to viscosity or dissolved solids.
- Plasma loading from high salt or high acid content.
- Changes in recovery for fortified samples despite acceptable instrument performance.
- Poor agreement between diluted and undiluted sample results.

When matrix-related recovery problems are demonstrated in EPA Method 200.7, the method indicates that standard addition or use of internal standards may be considered when laboratory performance is otherwise in control.

Matrix Matching vs. Internal Standards vs. Standard Addition

Matrix matching is one tool. It is not the only tool. The best approach depends on the analyte, method, matrix variability, reporting requirements, and available instrument configuration.

Approach	Best suited for	Limitations
Matrix matching	Routine samples with predictable acid composition or matrix characteristics	Exact matching may be impossible when samples vary widely.
Internal standardization	ICP-MS drift and many non-spectral matrix effects; selected ICP-OES applications	Does not automatically correct analyte-specific spectral overlap or unstable chemistry.
Standard addition	Samples where matrix effects cannot be adequately matched by external calibration	More time-consuming; sample-specific; must be used correctly and within method requirements.
Dilution	Reducing dissolved solids, viscosity, or matrix loading	Lowers analyte concentration and may compromise reporting limits.

Approach	Best suited for	Limitations
Matrix removal / separation	Severe matrix interference or high major-element background	Adds preparation steps and potential contamination or analyte loss.
Method-specific correction	Known spectral/mass interferences with validated correction procedures	Correction must match the actual interference and be verified.

How to Decide Whether Matrix Matching Is Needed

Use the analytical method first. Then evaluate matrix risk based on the sample and analytes.

1. Identify the required acid matrix for calibration standards, blanks, rinse blanks, and QC solutions.
2. Determine whether samples are prepared by the same digestion, dilution, preservation, or extraction chemistry as the calibration matrix.
3. Review sample dissolved solids, major elements, chloride, carbon, sulfur, phosphorus, and other potential matrix contributors.
4. Compare internal-standard response, spike recovery, duplicate precision, blank behavior, and QC recovery across sample types.
5. Analyze matrix spikes or laboratory fortified sample matrices when required by the method.
6. Check whether diluted samples agree with undiluted samples within method or laboratory criteria.
7. Apply standard addition, internal standards, dilution, separation, or custom matrix-matched standards where method-appropriate.

Troubleshooting Matrix Effects

Observed problem	Likely matrix-related question	Possible response
Internal standards suppressed across a batch	Is the sample matrix reducing ion transmission or plasma response?	Check dissolved solids, cone condition, dilution, matrix matching, and plasma robustness.
Matrix spike recovery fails but lab control sample passes	Is the sample matrix causing bias rather than a system-wide calibration issue?	Evaluate LFM/MS recovery, dilution, standard addition, or matrix-specific preparation.
Calibration blank is acceptable, but sample blanks are high	Are preparation reagents or sample matrix contributing contamination?	Review reagent blank, digestion vessels, sample prep, and matrix-matched blanks.
High-acid samples respond differently from standards	Are standards and samples in comparable acid concentration?	Prepare standards/blanks in the method-required acid mixture or dilute samples appropriately.
ICP-OES background correction varies by sample	Is the sample matrix creating background emission or spectral overlap?	Evaluate wavelength selection, background correction, spectral interference checks, and dilution.

Observed problem	Likely matrix-related question	Possible response
ICP-MS isotope ratios disagree	Is a matrix-derived polyatomic or isobaric interference present?	Compare isotopes, use cell mode/reaction chemistry, apply validated corrections, or change preparation.
Repeated precipitation or low recovery	Is analyte chemistry unstable in the selected matrix?	Review acid type, concentration, chloride content, storage, and custom formulation feasibility.

Best Practices for Matrix-Matched ICP Calibration

- Start with the current analytical method and its required calibration, blank, rinse, QC, and sample-preparation chemistry.
- Use calibration blanks prepared in the same acid matrix as the calibration standards when specified by the method.
- Keep check standards and interference check solutions in the method-required matrix.
- Avoid changing acid concentration, dilution procedure, or sample preparation without evaluating calibration and QC impact.
- Use internal standards to monitor ICP-MS matrix response, but do not treat them as a universal correction for every interference.
- Use laboratory fortified sample matrices, matrix spikes, duplicates, and reference materials to identify matrix-related bias.
- Consider custom standards when the same matrix, analyte list, and concentration set is prepared repeatedly.
- Document any method-approved matrix corrections, standard-addition procedures, dilution decisions, and reanalysis requirements.

When a Custom Matrix-Matched Standard Makes Sense

A custom inorganic standard can be useful when the laboratory repeatedly prepares the same analyte combination in a defined acid matrix and concentration range. The objective is not customization for its own sake. It is to reduce routine preparation variability while matching the method's analytical requirements.

A custom formulation may be appropriate when the laboratory needs to specify:

- Element list and individual analyte concentrations.
- Acid type and concentration.
- Final volume and packaging.
- Calibration, QC, or verification purpose.
- Method-specific matrix requirements.
- CRM or RM documentation needs, where applicable.

The formulation still must be chemically feasible. Element compatibility, analyte concentration, acid matrix, stability, and intended use should be evaluated before combining analytes into a single solution.

Frequently Asked Questions

Why should ICP calibration standards match the sample matrix?

Matching the matrix reduces differences between standard response and sample response caused by sample introduction, aerosol formation, plasma behavior, ionization or excitation, ion transmission, background effects, and chemical stability.

What happens when acid concentration differs between standards and samples?

Different acid concentration can change nebulization, plasma loading, analyte stability, blank response, background behavior, memory effects, and recovery. The result may be biased sample data or failing QC even when the calibration curve appears acceptable.

What are matrix effects in ICP-MS?

ICP-MS matrix effects are response changes caused by the sample matrix rather than the analyte concentration alone. They can include signal suppression or enhancement, internal-standard drift, ion-transmission changes, polyatomic interferences, high dissolved-solids effects, and carryover.

What are matrix effects in ICP-OES?

ICP-OES matrix effects can include changes in emission intensity, background emission, spectral overlap, plasma loading, nebulization behavior, and fortified sample recovery.

Is matrix matching always required?

Not always. The applicable method and sample type determine the required level of matching. For some routine methods, matching acid concentration and preparation chemistry is sufficient. Complex or variable samples may require additional controls such as dilution, internal standards, standard addition, or matrix spikes.

Can internal standards replace matrix matching?

No. Internal standards can compensate for many non-spectral response changes, especially in ICP-MS, but they do not automatically correct every spectral interference, chemical instability, contamination issue, or preparation mismatch.

When should standard addition be considered?

Standard addition may be considered when external calibration does not adequately compensate for sample-specific matrix effects and when the method permits or requires it. It is sample-specific and should be performed according to validated laboratory procedures.

Can CPI make matrix-matched ICP standards?

CPI can formulate custom inorganic standards with specified analytes, concentrations, matrices, and volumes for laboratory-specific applications, subject to chemical compatibility and stability considerations.

Match the Standard to the Method

Matrix matching helps ensure that calibration standards, blanks, QC materials, and samples answer the same analytical question under comparable conditions. For ICP-MS and ICP-OES laboratories, that means

considering the method, analytes, acid chemistry, dissolved solids, sample preparation, interferences, and QC requirements before selecting or preparing standards.

CPI International provides ICP Calibration Standards, ICP-MS Calibration Standards, ICP-OES Calibration Standards, ICP Quality Control Standards, Single Element ICP Standards, and Custom Inorganic Standards for analytical laboratories.

Explore CPI ICP Calibration Standards or configure a Custom Inorganic Standard for your analytical method.

STANDARDIZE YOUR STANDARDS

Technical References

- U.S. EPA , Method 200.8: Determination of Trace Elements in Waters and Wastes by ICP-MS, Revision 5.4
- U.S. EPA , Method 200.7: Determination of Metals and Trace Elements in Water and Wastes by ICP-AES, Revision 4.4
- U.S. EPA ESAM page for Method 200.8
- U.S. EPA ESAM page for Method 200.7
- Analytica Chimica Acta , Matrix effects in inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry: A review

Method-specific requirements, acceptance criteria, matrices, dilution limits, and QC frequencies should always be verified against the current official method, instrument documentation, and laboratory quality system before use.

© 2026 CPI International. All rights reserved. All third-party trademarks and registered trademarks referenced in this document are the property of their respective owners. References to third-party companies, products or services are for identification and informational purposes only and do not imply affiliation with, sponsorship by, or endorsement of CPI International.